

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,338	01/03/2006	Louis Lagler	P/4631-14	8840
2352 OSTROLENK	7590 05/20/201 FABER GERB & SOE	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			KIRSCH, ANDREW THOMAS	
NEW YORK,	NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,338	LAGLER, LOUIS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDREW T. KIRSCH	3781	

	ANDREW T. KIRSCH	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 			
 The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extern Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the control of Appeal has been filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>4/6/2011</u>	and 5/6/2011	
/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 11, and 12 now contain a re-wording of claims 4 and 5, and the limitation regarding the "inner periphery of the film hinges and an inner periphery of the closure" do not extend "outward beyond" a main inner radius. The limitation of "outward beyond" is a new issue which would require further limitation and/or search.